

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Favorable reconsideration of this application as presently amended and in light of the following discussion is respectfully requested.

Claims 17-22 are presently active in this application; Claims 1-16 canceled and claims 17-22 added by the present amendment.

In the outstanding Office Action Claim 15 was objected to as including informalities requiring correction; Claims 1, 2, 7, 8, and 15 were rejected under 35 USC §102(e) as being anticipated by Stewart; Claim 14 was rejected under 35 USC §102(e) as being anticipated by Agre; Claims 3, 9 and 16 were rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Stewart as applied to Claims 1, 7 and 15 above, and further in view of Voit; Claims 4-6 and 10-12 were rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Stewart as applied to Claims 1, 2, 7 and 12 above, and further in view of Agre; and Claim 13 was rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Franz and further in view of Agre.

In light of the several grounds for objection and rejection of Claims 1-16, these claims have been canceled and replaced by new Claims 17-22 drafted to more clearly patentably distinguish over the cited prior art. To that end, the new method claim 17 defines the subject invention in terms of a call originating method applied to a mobile communication terminal, including the steps of receiving a table downloaded from a server storing a table containing telephone numbers of respective emergency contact points for use in respective regions, location information items corresponding to the respective emergency contact points, and emergency telephone numbers for use in the user's motherland and corresponding to the respective emergency contact points; storing the table in a first memory; specifying a terminal's present location coordinate; inputting an emergency telephone number in the user's motherland; retrieving from the table stored, a telephone number of one of the emergency contact points whose corresponding emergency telephone number for use in the

Application No. 09/867,540
Reply to Office Action of October 4, 2004

user's motherland is the same as the emergency telephone number inputted and whose corresponding location information item is nearest to the terminal's present location coordinate; and calling the retrieved telephone number. These steps are not believed to be disclosed or suggested in the art of record, and Claims 17-20 are therefore believed to be patentably distinguishing over the art of record.

Similar or related functionality and methodology is stated in the remaining new independent Claims 21-22 which are likewise believed to be patentably distinguishing over the cited prior art.

Accordingly, an updated search with regard to the newly submitted Claims 17-22 is believed to be in order and an early and favorable action on the merits of new Claims 17-22 is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Eckhard H. Kuesters
Attorney of Record
Registration No. 28,870

Customer Number
22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413 -2220
(OSMMN 06/04)

I:\ATTY\EHK\AMEND-RESPONSES\0039\20s\209249US-AM1.DOC